THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.VENKATESWARA REDDY

W.P.No.11373 OF 1998

Between:

Smt.Sunita Pillay, w/o R.Pillay,
Aged about 35 years,
R/o H.No.8-2-287/9, Road No.14,
Banjara Hills, Hyderabad
and another.

....Petitioner

A n d

State of Andhra Pradesh
Rep. By R.D.O., Hyderabad
and another

... Respondents

_

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.VENKATESWARA REDDY

W.P.No.11373 OF 1998

_

_

ORDER:(*Per Hon'ble Sri Justice B.Prakash Rao)*

Heard Sri S.Ravi, learned counsel for the petitioners, Sri E.Manoher, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of Sri G.Rama Gopal, learned counsel for the contesting second respondent and also the learned Government Pleader for Revenue

(Assignment).

The petitioners herein initially challenged the order passed in L.G.C.No.37 of 1991, which has been initiated by the first respondent herein seeking eviction of the petitioners. The contesting second respondent herein claims to be the purchaser of the property from the petitioners herein. During the course of arguments, an affidavit has been filed on behalf of the contesting second respondent herein, sworn to by Smt.Meena Malpuri, to the effect that in terms of the orders passed by the Special Court under A.P. Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, in L.G.C.No.37 of 1991, dated 18-03-1996, wherein a direction had been given to the petitioners to file an application for regularization of their possession in terms of G.O.Ms.No.508, Revenue Department, dated 20-10-1995. Accordingly, it is stated in the affidavit filed today that an application had been filed by the petitioners and also the purchasers who purchased the same under a registered sale deed, dated 26-08-1976, marked as Ex.B-7. The said application has been filed on 28-12-2005. In terms of the said application, a meeting was held to consider their cases for regularization pursuant to G.O.Ms.No.674 Revenue (Assign-II) Department, dated
08-06-2006, read with G.O.Ms.No.1601, dated 29-08-2005. In the meeting held on 20-07-2006, the case of

the contesting second respondent herein was duly recommended for regularization. However, it is only due to the pendency of the writ petition, the matter could not be considered by the Government.

Having thus heard the learned counsel on either side and in view of the subsequent events where a due recommendation has been made in terms of the application filed by the petitioners herein and also their purchasers, the writ petition is disposed of directing the Government of Andhra Pradesh represented by its Special Chief Secretary, Commissioner of Revenue (Land Administration) Department, Hyderabad, to pass orders in terms of the recommendations which have already been made, as stated above, in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

_____

B.PRAKASH RAO, J

_____

M.VENKATESWARA REDDY,J

Dt.23-01-2007

Lrkm/Gs.